



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,169	02/26/2002	Petri Hyypa	042933/299815	5147
826 7590 08/05/2009				
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				
EXAMINER				
IQBAL, KHAWAR				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/05/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/083,169

**Applicant(s)**

HYYPAA ET AL.

**Examiner**

KHAWAR IQBAL

**Art Unit**

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,9-25,30,33,36,37,40 and 41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,9-25,30,33,36,37,40 and 41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specifically does not disclose in the original specification "processor and a memory storing executable instructions that in response to execution by the processor" claim 23, lines 1-3.

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 23 recites the limitation "said automatically inserted transaction information" in page 2 and page 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim (did not receive response).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 9-25, 30, 33, 36, 37, 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breek et al (20040210449) in view of Pennell et al (20020013788) and Kiessling et al (6915124).

Regarding claim 1 Breek et al teaches a method comprising (figs. 1-5):

receiving at a user equipment of information entity including data fields (para. # 0066-0067, 0077);

automatically inserting information into at least one data field of the information entity based on information available at the user equipment (automatically filled by the card provider 3 or downloaded from a digital wallet into the payment fields, see fig. 7, transaction information are automatically filled into the web shopping page by the card provider's web server, para. # 0064-0067, 0077-0078,); and

transmitting the information entity with said automatically inserted transaction information from the user equipment over a wireless interface (para. # 0040, 0042).

Breek et al does not teach verifying internally at said user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment.

In an analogous art, Pennell teaches verifying internally at said user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment (para. # 0022-0023 and

0027, web page form 100 filled in automatically in a user device 301). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Breck et al by specifically adding feature verifying internally at said user equipment automating entry of information into forms displayed on a screen and improve security of the system as taught by Pennell.

Further Pennell teaches that the browser automation program stores in the user data file 304 the login member names and passwords for sites for which the user has registered. When the user accesses the URL for a site, the user is presented with the login helper 801. Login helper 801 allows the user to select the appropriate member name and automatically then enters the correct password for the user. However, Breck et al and Pennell do not teach the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM).

In an analogous art, Kiessling et al teaches the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) (col. 4, lines 18-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Breck et al and Pennell by specifically adding feature the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module in order to provides improved method for executing data allow by SIM as taught by Kiessling et al.

Regarding claim 9 Breck et al teaches wherein at least part of the transaction information to be inserted in the information entity is obtained from a storage unit provided at the user equipment (automatically filled by the card provider 3 or downloaded from a digital wallet) (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 10 Breck et al teaches wherein at least part of the transaction information to be inserted in the information entity is obtained from another information entity available for the user equipment (automatically filled by the card provider 3 or downloaded from a digital wallet) (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 11 Breck et al teaches wherein the user gives a confirmation before said step of inserting information in the information entity (exemplary online log in screen 130, where the cardholder 1 is prompted for authenticating information such as a username 132 and password 134) (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 12 Breck et al teaches wherein the information is inserted by transaction processing unit of the user equipment (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 13 Breck et al teaches wherein the user equipment inserts information in a data field of the information entity in a predefined manner (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 14 Breck et al teaches wherein the information entity is filled in accordance with predefined instructions (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 15 Breck et al teaches wherein the instructions define the information that is to be inserted in the information entity in response to an event (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 16 Breck et al teaches wherein said information entity is transported as a standardized data entity (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claims 17-19 Breck et al data entity is based on the Electronic Commerce Modeling Language (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 20 Breck et al teaches wherein the user equipment communicates transaction information via an interface that is based on at least one of the following: short message service (SMS); wireless application protocol (WAP); internet protocol (IP); a short range radio link; a proximity card type interface; an infrared link (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078, see claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 21 Breck et al teaches wherein the user equipment receives the information entity via a first type of interface and returns the information entity via a second type of interface (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 22 Breck et al teaches wherein the user equipment communicates with a base station (inherent) of a cellular communication network (para. # 0040, 0042, 0067).

Regarding claim 23 Breck et al a user equipment comprising (figs. 1-5):

a receiving unit configured to receive an information entity including data fields (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078);

a processing unit configured to automatically insert information available for the processing unit in at least of said one data field of said information entity (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078); and

a transmitter for transmitting the information entity from the user equipment to a co-operative device over a wireless interface (para. # 0040, 0042). Breck et al does not teach verifying internally at said user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment.

In an analogous art, Pennell teaches verifying internally at said user equipment on the basis of an identification code that associates with the user equipment (para. # 0022-0023 and

0027, web page form 100 filled in automatically in a user device 301). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Breck et al by specifically adding feature verifying internally at said user equipment automating entry of information into forms displayed on a screen and improve security of the system as taught by Pennell. Further Pennell teaches that the browser automation program stores in the user data file 304 the login member names and passwords for sites for which the user has registered. When the user accesses the URL for a site, the user is presented with the login helper 801. Login helper 801 allows the user to select the appropriate member name and automatically then enters the correct password for the user. However, Breck et al and Pennell do not teach the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM).

In an analogous art, Kiessling et al teaches the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) (col. 4, lines 18-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Breck et al and Pennell by specifically adding feature the identification code a Subscriber Identity Module in order to provides improved method for executing data allow by SIM as taught by Kiessling et al.

Regarding claim 24 Breck et al teaches comprising storage unit configured to store the transaction information, wherein the processing unit is adapted to fetch information from said storage means and to insert said information from the storage means into the information entity (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claim 25 Breck et al teaches wherein the processing unit is adapted to obtain information from at least one other information entity and to insert said information from the at



least one other information entity into said information entity that is the subject of the information insertion procedure (para. # 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claims 30-33 Breck et al teaches wherein the information entity is a form; form is selected from the group consisting of a billing details form and shipping detail form (para. # 0040, 0042, 0066-0067, 0077-0078).

Regarding claims 36-37 Breck et al teaches wherein the transaction information comprises at least one of: name; address; credit card number; telephone number; or passport number (para. # 0040, 0042, 0066-0067, 0077-0078, see claim 1, above).

Regarding claims 40-41 Breck et al teaches the service provider to indicate a selected one of options including acceptance and rejection of the information supplied for inclusion in a form based on a comparison of the identification code to a record of codes (para. # 0040, 0042, 0059, 0066-0067, 0077-0078, see claim 1, above).

#### *Response to Arguments*

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9-25, 30, 33, 36, 37 and 40-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is (571)272-7909. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am to 6.30 pm Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GEORGE ENG can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/K. I./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617